



**DEPARTMENT OF
FINANCE**

EDMUND G. BROWN JR. • GOVERNOR

915 L STREET ■ SACRAMENTO CA ■ 95814-3706 ■ WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

November 6, 2015

Mr. Cass Cook, Finance Director
City of Dinuba
405 East El Monte Way
Dinuba, CA 93618

Dear Mr. Cook:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m) (1) (A), the City of Dinuba Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period January 1 through June 30, 2016 (ROPS 15-16B) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on September 29, 2015. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 15-16B.

Based on our review, Finance is approving all of the items listed on the ROPS 15-16B at this time.

In addition to approving all of the items listed on the ROPS, Finance notes the following:

- Item No. 12 – Finance is approving the Agency's request to decrease Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) funding requested from \$2,477,282 to \$2,469,832 resulting in a total decrease of \$7,450.
- Item No. 28 – Finance is approving the Agency's request to increase RPTTF funding requested from \$240,222 to \$242,346 resulting in a total decrease of \$2,124.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a) (1), the Agency was required to report on the ROPS 15-16B form the estimated obligations versus actual payments (prior period adjustment) associated with the January through June 2015 period (ROPS 14-15B). HSC section 34186 (a) (1) also specifies the prior period adjustment self-reported by the Agency is subject to review by the county auditor-controller (CAC). The amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's review of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$3,785,444 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution	
For the period of January through June 2016	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	3,665,770
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	125,000
Total RPTTF requested for obligations on ROPS 15-16B	\$ 3,790,770
RPTTF adjustment to non-administrative obligations	
Item No. 12	(7,450)
Item No. 28	2,124
Total RPTTF adjustments	\$ (5,326)
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations	3,660,444
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations	125,000
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	\$ 3,785,444
ROPS 14-15B prior period adjustment	0
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	\$ 3,785,444

On the ROPS 15-16B form, the Agency reported cash balances and activity for the period January 1 through December 31, 2015. Finance will perform a review of the Agency's self-reported cash balances on an ongoing basis. Please be prepared to submit financial records and bridging documents to support the cash balances reported upon request. If it is determined the Agency possesses cash balances that are available to pay approved obligations, HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E) requires these balances be used prior to requesting RPTTF.

Please refer to the ROPS 15-16B schedule used to calculate the total RPTTF approved for distribution:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS>

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for January 1 through June 30, 2016. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to review and may be denied even if it was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution statutes. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Mr. Cass Cook
November 6, 2015
Page 3

Please direct inquiries to Cindie Lor, Supervisor, or Todd Vermillion, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD
Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Jayne Anderson, Assistant City Manager, City of Dinuba
Ms. Rita A. Woodard, Auditor-Controller, Tulare County