

December 17, 2013

Ms. Maria Bemis, Finance Director
City of Porterville
291 North Main Street
Porterville, CA 93257

Dear Ms. Bemis:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

This letter supersedes the California Department of Finance's (Finance) Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) letter dated November 15, 2013. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Porterville Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 13-14B) to Finance on October 1, 2013, for the period of January through June 2014. Finance issued a ROPS determination letter on November 15, 2013. Subsequently, the Agency requested a Meet and Confer session on one or more of the items denied by Finance. The Meet and Confer session was held on December 3, 2013.

Based on a review of additional information and documentation provided to Finance during the Meet and Confer process, Finance has completed its review of the specific items being disputed.

- Item No. 16 – Porterville Hotel Project in the amount of \$1,956,315. Finance no longer denies this item. The Agency received a Finding of Completion on August 7, 2013 and is now permitted utilize proceeds derived from bonds issued prior to January 1, 2011 in a manner consistent with the original bond covenants. During the meet and confer, the Agency provided additional documentation to support the estimated use of bond proceeds.

In addition, per Finance's letter dated November 15, 2013, we continue to deny the following items not contested by the Agency during the Meet and Confer

- Item Nos. 11 and 12 – Loan repayments to the City of Porterville for \$79,258 and \$114,341. The Agency received a Finding of Completion on August 7, 2013. As such, the Agency may place loan agreements between the former redevelopment agency and sponsoring entity on the ROPS, as an enforceable obligation, provided the oversight board makes a finding that the loan was for legitimate redevelopment purposes per HSC section 34191.4 (b) (1). However, HSC section 34176 (e) (6) (B) specifies loan or deferral repayments to the LMIHF shall not be made prior to fiscal year 2013-14. While ROPS 13-14B falls within fiscal year 2013-14, the repayment of these City/County loans is subject to the repayment formula outlined in HSC section 34191.4 (b) (2) (A).

HSC section 34191.4 (b) (2) (A) allows maximum repayment amount in each fiscal year to be equal to one-half of the increase between the ROPS residual amounts distributed to the taxing entities in that fiscal year and the ROPS residual amounts distributed to the taxing entities in the 2012-13 base year. Since the formula does not allow for estimates, the Agency must wait until the ROPS residual pass-through distributions are known for fiscal year 2013-14 before requesting funding for this obligation. Therefore, these items are not eligible for funding at this time.

Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 13-14B form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the January through June 2013 period. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of RPTTF approved in the below table includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's audit of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Except for the items denied in whole or in part as enforceable obligations, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 13-14B. The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$481,389 as summarized below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution Amount For the period of January through June 2014	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	583,726
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	91,262
Total RPTTF requested for obligations	\$ 674,988
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	583,726
<u>Denied Items</u>	
Item No. 11	(79,258)
Item No. 12	(114,341)
	(193,599)
Total RPTTF approved for non-administrative obligations	390,127
Total RPTTF for administrative obligations	91,262
Total RPTTF approved for obligations	481,389
ROPS III prior period adjustment	-
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	\$ 481,389

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E), agencies are required to use all available funding sources prior to RPTTF for payment of enforceable obligations. Beginning with the ROPS 13-14B period, Finance required successor agencies to identify fund balances for various types of funds in its possession. During our ROPS 13-14B review, Finance requested financial records to support the fund balances reported by the Agency; however, Finance was unable to reconcile the financial records to the amounts reported. As a result, Finance will continue to work with the Agency after the ROPS 13-14B review period to properly identify the Agency's fund balances. If it is determined the Agency possesses fund balances that are available to pay approved obligations, the Agency should request the use of these fund balances prior to requesting RPTTF in ROPS 14-15A.

Please refer to the ROPS 13-14B schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

[http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS 13-14B Forms by Successor Agency/](http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS_13-14B_Forms_by_Successor_Agency/).

This is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for January 1 through June 30, 2014. This determination applies only to items where funding was requested for the six month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c)(2)(B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Evelyn Suess, Dispute Resolution Supervisor, or Danielle Brandon, Analyst, at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD
Assistant Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Jenni Byers, Project Manager, City of Porterville
Ms. Rita A Woodard, Auditor-Controller, Tulare County
California State Controller's Office