(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: July 2015 - December 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 15-16A

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Countywide Totals	County RDA
1	RPTTF Deposits (Note that entering the deposits by source is optional):		
2	Secured & Unsecured Property Tax Increment (TI)	14,114,128	2,241,267
3	Supplemental & Unitary Property TI	-	-
4	Interest Earnings/Other	-	
5	Penalty Assessments	-	
6	Total RPTTF Deposits (sum of lines 2:5)	14,114,128	2,241,267
7	Total RPTTF Balance Available to Fund CAC Admin and Passthroughs	14,114,128	2,241,267
8	RPTTF Distributions - Include all payments made pursuant to Health and Safety Code (H&S) Section 34183. Not	e that the following distributions are	not necessary listed in the
9	Administrative Distributions-		
10	Administrative Fees to CAC	13,425	2,053
11	SB 2557 Administration Fees	383,125	60,944
12	SCO Invoices for Audit and Oversight - Funding should only be allocated for this purpose when there is sufficient RPTTF to fully fund the approved enforceable obligations as shown on line 35.	48,634	-
13	Total Administrative Distributions (sum of lines 10:12)	445,184	62,997
14	Passthrough Distributions-		
15	City Passthrough Payments	126,298	-
16	County Passthrough Payments	2,446,246	88,957
17	Special District Passthrough Payments	355,978	199,386
18	K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion	232,302	33,572
19	K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion	1,072,517	181,334
20	Community College Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion	39,773	6,139
21	Community College Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion	176,389	32,520
22	County Office of Education - Tax Portion	7,490	1,063
23	County Office of Education - Facilities Portion	89,728	15,207
24	Education Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF)	<u> </u>	-
25	Total Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 15:24)	4,546,721	558,178
26	Total Administrative and Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 13 and 25)	4,991,905	621,175

(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: July 2015 - December 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 15-16A

	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Countywide Totals	County RDA
27	Total RPTTF Balance Available to Fund Successor Agency (SA) Enforceable Obligations (EOs) (line 6 - 26)	9,122,223	1,620,092
	Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution - Include the total RPTTF approved for SA non-admin and admin costs, (DDR) process, as indicated in the letter, on lines 33 and 34. Note that CACs should first apply the withholding to the		
28	affected taxing entities (ATEs) accordingly.		
29	Non-Admin EOs	4,937,473	-
30	Admin EOs	416,401	-
31	Less PPAs - Amount should be entered as a negative number.	(334,666)	(19,083)
32	Less RPTTF Withholding - Amounts should be entered as a negative number:	-	
33	LMIHF	-	
34	OFA	-	
35	Total Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution (sum of lines 29:34)	5,019,208	(19,083)
36	- CAC Distributed ROPS RPTTF- CACs should first apply the negative PPA and RPTTF withholding amounts to the n	on-admin distributions and then a	pply the balances to the a
37	Non-Admin EOs	4,341,559	-
38	Admin EOs	106,333	-
39	Total CAC Distributed RPTTF for SA EOs (sum of lines 37 and 38)	4,447,892	-
	Formula check to determine whether the lesser of the total Finance approved RPTTF or the total RPTTF balance		
40	available to fund EOs was allocated to the SA. Please explain all amounts shown in the comments section.	36,067	19,083
41	Net ROPS 15-16A and DDR Withholding RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 27 - 39)	4,674,331	1,620,092
42	Less RPTTF Withholdings - The following withheld amounts should be reported on the respective LMIHF and OFA	actuals reports and distributed to	the affected taxing entities
43	LMIHF		
44	OFA	-	
45	Total Actual RPTTF Withholdings (sum of lines 43 and 44)	-	-
	Total ROPS 15-16A Only RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 41 + 45) - Excludes RPTTF		
46	withholding residuals paid to the ATEs as shown on line 45.	4,674,331	1,620,092
	RPTTF Distributions to ATEs - Payments pursuant to H&S Section 34183(a)(4). Include the effect of "haircutting" pu	ursuant to H&S Section 34188. No	ote that the totals on lines
47			
47 48	Cities	458,117	-

(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: July 2015 - December 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 15-16A

County : Tulare

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Countywide Totals	County RDA
50	Special Districts	221,843	51,711
51	K-12 Schools	1,582,381	542,833
52	Community Colleges	256,184	94,603
53	County Office of Education	122,150	45,575
54	Total ERAF - Please break out the ERAF amounts into the following categories if possible. (sum of lines 55:57)	1,210,825	418,952
55	ERAF - K-12	-	
56	ERAF - Community Colleges	-	
57	ERAF - County Offices of Education	-	
	Total RPTTF Distributions to ATEs (sum of lines 48:54) - Total residual distributions must equal the total		
58	residual balance as shown on line 46.	4,674,331	1,620,092
59	Total Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools (sum of lines 51:54):	3,171,540	1,101,963
60	Percentage of Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools	67.9%	68.0%
61	Comments:		PPA amount is in excess of ROPS 15-16A DOF approved RPTTF distribution amount

Disclosures:	
--------------	--

(1) ERAF in RDA pass through calculation is included in this calculation

Case law "LAUSD vs LA County"

(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: July 2015 - December 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 15-16A

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Dinuba RDA	Exeter RDA	Farmersville RDA
1	RPTTF Deposits (Note that entering the deposits by source is optional):			
2	Secured & Unsecured Property Tax Increment (TI)	2,638,934	487,158	399,734
3	Supplemental & Unitary Property TI	-	-	-
4	Interest Earnings/Other			
5	Penalty Assessments			
6	Total RPTTF Deposits (sum of lines 2:5)	2,638,934	487,158	399,734
7	Total RPTTF Balance Available to Fund CAC Admin and Passthroughs	2,638,934	487,158	399,734
8	RPTTF Distributions - Include all payments made pursuant to Health and Safety Code (H&S) Section 34183. Note	e priority order required by H&S	S 34183.	
9	Administrative Distributions-			
10	Administrative Fees to CAC	2,401	472	410
11	SB 2557 Administration Fees	71,669	13,238	10,864
12	SCO Invoices for Audit and Oversight - Funding should only be allocated for this purpose when there is sufficient RPTTF to fully fund the approved enforceable obligations as shown on line 35.		-	14,623
13	Total Administrative Distributions (sum of lines 10:12)	74,070	13,710	25,897
14	Passthrough Distributions-			
15	City Passthrough Payments	36,782	-	7,630
16	County Passthrough Payments	390,581	97,675	15,918
17	Special District Passthrough Payments	13,874	17,015	5,685
18	K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion	45,143	-	18,541
19	K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion	59,780	59,209	37,195
20	Community College Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion	8,274	-	2,239
21	Community College Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion	10,709	8,252	3,762
22	County Office of Education - Tax Portion	1,746	-	411
23	County Office of Education - Facilities Portion	8,268	3,811	2,344
24	Education Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF)		-	-
25	Total Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 15:24)	575,157	185,962	93,725
26	Total Administrative and Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 13 and 25)	649,227	199,672	119,622

(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: July 2015 - December 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 15-16A

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Dinuba RDA	Exeter RDA	Farmersville RDA
27	Total RPTTF Balance Available to Fund Successor Agency (SA) Enforceable Obligations (EOs) (line 6 - 26)	1,989,707	287,486	280,112
	Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution - Include the total RPTTF approved for SA non-admin and admin costs (DDR) process, as indicated in the letter, on lines 33 and 34. Note that CACs should first apply the withholding to the			
28	affected taxing entities (ATEs) accordingly.			
29	Non-Admin EOs	1,263,612	-	45,113
30	Admin EOs	94,999	-	2,002
31	Less PPAs - Amount should be entered as a negative number.	-	-	-
32	Less RPTTF Withholding - Amounts should be entered as a negative number:			
33	LMIHF			
34	OFA			
35	Total Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution (sum of lines 29:34)	1,358,611	-	47,115
36	CAC Distributed ROPS RPTTF- CACs should first apply the negative PPA and RPTTF withholding amounts to the	min distributions if necessary		
37	Non-Admin EOs	1,263,612	-	45,113
38	Admin EOs	94,999	-	2,002
39	Total CAC Distributed RPTTF for SA EOs (sum of lines 37 and 38)	1,358,611	-	47,115
40	Formula check to determine whether the lesser of the total Finance approved RPTTF or the total RPTTF balance available to fund EOs was allocated to the SA. Please explain all amounts shown in the comments section.	-	-	-
41	Net ROPS 15-16A and DDR Withholding RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 27 - 39)	631,096	287,486	232,997
42	Less RPTTF Withholdings - The following withheld amounts should be reported on the respective LMIHF and OFA	(ATEs) accordingly. In additio	n the amounts should be	entered as a negative n
43	LMIHF			
44	OFA			
45	Total Actual RPTTF Withholdings (sum of lines 43 and 44)	-	-	-
	Total ROPS 15-16A Only RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 41 + 45) - Excludes RPTTF			
46	withholding residuals paid to the ATEs as shown on line 45.	631,096	287,486	232,997
47	RPTTF Distributions to ATEs - Payments pursuant to H&S Section 34183(a)(4). Include the effect of "haircutting" p	6 and 58 need to match. Posi	tive or negative amounts	shown on line 40 should
48	Cities	117,829	45,027	24,193
	Counties	117,179	60,135	34,676

(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: July 2015 - December 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 15-16A

County : Tulare

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Dinuba RDA	Exeter RDA	Farmersville RDA
50	Special Districts	29,645	10,718	11,824
51	K-12 Schools	178,456	91,247	85,451
52	Community Colleges	29,817	12,717	9,111
53	County Office of Education	15,730	5,873	4,208
54	Total ERAF - Please break out the ERAF amounts into the following categories if possible. (sum of lines 55:57)	142,440	61,769	63,534
55	ERAF - K-12			
56	ERAF - Community Colleges			
57	ERAF - County Offices of Education			
	Total RPTTF Distributions to ATEs (sum of lines 48:54) - Total residual distributions must equal the total			
58	residual balance as shown on line 46.	631,096	287,486	232,997
59	Total Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools (sum of lines 51:54):	366,443	171,606	162,304
60	Percentage of Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools	58.1%	59.7%	69.7%
61	Comments:			

Disclosures	5:
-------------	----

(1) ERAF in RDA pass through calculation is included in this calculation

Case law "LAUSD vs LA County"

(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: July 2015 - December 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 15-16A

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Lindsay RDA	Porterville RDA	Tulare RDA
1	RPTTF Deposits (Note that entering the deposits by source is optional):			
2	Secured & Unsecured Property Tax Increment (TI)	954,681	511,005	3,007,815
3	Supplemental & Unitary Property TI	-	-	-
4	Interest Earnings/Other			
5	Penalty Assessments			
6	Total RPTTF Deposits (sum of lines 2:5)	954,681	511,005	3,007,815
7	Total RPTTF Balance Available to Fund CAC Admin and Passthroughs	954,681	511,005	3,007,815
8	RPTTF Distributions - Include all payments made pursuant to Health and Safety Code (H&S) Section 34183. Note			
9	Administrative Distributions-			
10	Administrative Fees to CAC	941	507	2,724
11	SB 2557 Administration Fees	25,939	13,855	81,608
12	SCO Invoices for Audit and Oversight - Funding should only be allocated for this purpose when there is sufficient RPTTF to fully fund the approved enforceable obligations as shown on line 35.	-	34,011	-
13	Total Administrative Distributions (sum of lines 10:12)	26,880	48,373	84,332
14	Passthrough Distributions-			
15	City Passthrough Payments	10,650	-	60,255
16	County Passthrough Payments	105,203	37,201	463,543
17	Special District Passthrough Payments	15,078	2,848	34,497
18	K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion	22,928	-	90,015
19	K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion	82,577	56,351	140,745
20	Community College Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion	4,349	-	15,158
21	Community College Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion	13,020	13,042	20,228
22	County Office of Education - Tax Portion	803	-	2,800
23	County Office of Education - Facilities Portion	7,631	4,210	13,540
24	Education Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF)		-	-
25	Total Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 15:24)	262,239	113,652	840,781
26	Total Administrative and Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 13 and 25)	289,119	162,025	925,113

(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: July 2015 - December 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 15-16A

ne #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Lindsay RDA	Porterville RDA	Tulare RDA
	Total RPTTF Balance Available to Fund Successor Agency (SA) Enforceable Obligations (EOs) (line 6 - 26)	665,562	348,980	2,082,702
8	Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution - Include the total RPTTF approved for SA non-admin and admin costs RP (DDR) process, as indicated in the letter, on lines 33 and 34. Note that CACs should first apply the withholding to the algorithm affected taxing entities (ATEs) accordingly.			
9	Non-Admin EOs	1,128,489	479,568	1,605,145
))	Admin EOs	3,400	41,000	100,00
	Less PPAs - Amount should be entered as a negative number.	-, -	(30,532)	(119,487
2	Less RPTTF Withholding - Amounts should be entered as a negative number:			Υ ·
	LMIHF			
ŀ	OFA			
5	Total Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution (sum of lines 29:34)	1,131,889	490,036	1,585,658
5	CAC Distributed ROPS RPTTF- CACs should first apply the negative PPA and RPTTF withholding amounts to the			
7	Non-Admin EOs	665,562	348,980	1,605,14
3	Admin EOs	-	-	
)	Total CAC Distributed RPTTF for SA EOs (sum of lines 37 and 38)	665,562	348,980	1,605,14
	Formula check to determine whether the lesser of the total Finance approved RPTTF or the total RPTTF balance available to fund EOs was allocated to the SA. Please explain all amounts shown in the comments section.	0	-	19,48
	Net ROPS 15-16A and DDR Withholding RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 27 - 39)	-	-	477,55
2 3	Less RPTTF Withholdings - The following withheld amounts should be reported on the respective LMIHF and OFAm LMIHF OFA	ber. Note that the amount	s on lines 43 and 44 should	be equal to or less th
-	Total Actual RPTTF Withholdings (sum of lines 43 and 44)	_	_	
	Total ROPS 15-16A Only RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 41 + 45) - Excludes RPTTF			
	withholding residuals paid to the ATEs as shown on line 45.	-	-	477,55
,	RPTTF Distributions to ATEs - Payments pursuant to H&S Section 34183(a)(4). Include the effect of "haircutting" the	considered and/or correc	ted before the funds shown	on line 46 are distribu
	Children			<u> </u>
3	Cities	-	-	68,04

(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: July 2015 - December 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 15-16A

County : Tulare

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Lindsay RDA	Porterville RDA	Tulare RDA
50	Special Districts	-	-	37,453
51	K-12 Schools	27,572	-	147,986
52	Community Colleges	-	-	22,759
53	County Office of Education	-	-	10,509
54	Total ERAF - Please break out the ERAF amounts into the following categories if possible. (sum of lines 55:57)	530	-	112,420
55	ERAF - K-12			
56	ERAF - Community Colleges			
57	ERAF - County Offices of Education			
	Total RPTTF Distributions to ATEs (sum of lines 48:54) - Total residual distributions must equal the total			
58	residual balance as shown on line 46.	-	-	477,557
59	Total Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools (sum of lines 51:54):	28,102	-	293,674
60	Percentage of Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools			61.5%
61	Comments:			\$119,487 Admin Prior Period Adjustment was deducted to the extent of the approved admin
				amount of \$100,000, \$19,487 is excess.

Disclosures:

(1) ERAF in RDA pass through calculation is included in this calculation

Case law "LAUSD vs LA County"

(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: July 2015 - December 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 15-16A

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Visalia RDA	Woodlake RDA
1	RPTTF Deposits (Note that entering the deposits by source is optional):		
2	Secured & Unsecured Property Tax Increment (TI)	3,522,617	350,919
3	Supplemental & Unitary Property TI	-	-
4	Interest Earnings/Other		
5	Penalty Assessments		
6	Total RPTTF Deposits (sum of lines 2:5)	3,522,617	350,919
7	Total RPTTF Balance Available to Fund CAC Admin and Passthroughs	3,522,617	350,919
8	RPTTF Distributions - Include all payments made pursuant to Health and Safety Code (H&S) Section 34183. Note		
9	Administrative Distributions-		
10	Administrative Fees to CAC	3,550	367
11	SB 2557 Administration Fees	95,460	9,550
12	SCO Invoices for Audit and Oversight - Funding should only be allocated for this purpose when there is sufficient RPTTF to fully fund the approved enforceable obligations as shown on line 35.	-	-
13	Total Administrative Distributions (sum of lines 10:12)	99,010	9,917
14	Passthrough Distributions-		
15	City Passthrough Payments	-	10,981
16	County Passthrough Payments	1,228,788	18,380
17	Special District Passthrough Payments	59,368	8,227
18	K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion	-	22,104
19	K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion	426,381	28,944
20	Community College Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion	-	3,614
21	Community College Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion	70,862	3,994
22	County Office of Education - Tax Portion	-	667
23	County Office of Education - Facilities Portion	31,871	2,846
24	Education Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF)	<u> </u>	-
25	Total Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 15:24)	1,817,270	99,757
26	Total Administrative and Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 13 and 25)	1,916,280	109,674

(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: July 2015 - December 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 15-16A

ne # _	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Visalia RDA	Woodlake RDA
27	Total RPTTF Balance Available to Fund Successor Agency (SA) Enforceable Obligations (EOs) (line 6 - 26)	1,606,337	241,245
(Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution - Include the total RPTTF approved for SA non-admin and admin costs (DDR) process, as indicated in the letter, on lines 33 and 34. Note that CACs should first apply the withholding to the		
	affected taxing entities (ATEs) accordingly.		4 000
29	Non-Admin EOs	411,510	4,036
30	Admin EOs	125,000	50,000
31	Less PPAs - Amount should be entered as a negative number.	(116,411)	(49,153)
32	Less RPTTF Withholding - Amounts should be entered as a negative number:		
33	LMIHF		
34	OFA		
5	Total Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution (sum of lines 29:34)	420,099	4,883
86 (CAC Distributed ROPS RPTTF- CACs should first apply the negative PPA and RPTTF withholding amounts to the		
37	Non-Admin EOs	409,148	3,999
88	Admin EOs	8,448	884
9	Total CAC Distributed RPTTF for SA EOs (sum of lines 37 and 38)	417,596	4,883
Ī	Formula check to determine whether the lesser of the total Finance approved RPTTF or the total RPTTF balance		
10 6	available to fund EOs was allocated to the SA. Please explain all amounts shown in the comments section.	(2,503)	-
1 1	Net ROPS 15-16A and DDR Withholding RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 27 - 39)	1,188,741	236,362
2	Less RPTTF Withholdings - The following withheld amounts should be reported on the respective LMIHF and OFA	the amounts on 33 and 34.	
3	LMIHF		
4	OFA		
45 -	Total Actual RPTTF Withholdings (sum of lines 43 and 44)	-	-
-	Total ROPS 15-16A Only RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 41 + 45) - Excludes RPTTF		
<u>ہ</u> 6	withholding residuals paid to the ATEs as shown on line 45.	1,188,741	236,362
7 I	RPTTF Distributions to ATEs - Payments pursuant to H&S Section 34183(a)(4). Include the effect of "haircutting" p	to the ATEs.	
8	Cities	166,002	37,022
0			

(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: July 2015 - December 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 15-16A

County : Tulare

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Visalia RDA	Woodlake RDA
50	Special Districts	62,291	18,201
51	K-12 Schools	436,072	72,764
52	Community Colleges	76,332	10,845
53	County Office of Education	35,247	5,008
54	Total ERAF - Please break out the ERAF amounts into the following categories if possible. (sum of lines 55:57)	359,339	51,841
55	ERAF - K-12		
56	ERAF - Community Colleges		
57	ERAF - County Offices of Education		
	Total RPTTF Distributions to ATEs (sum of lines 48:54) - Total residual distributions must equal the total		
58	residual balance as shown on line 46.	1,188,741	236,362
59	Total Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools (sum of lines 51:54):	906,990	140,458
60	Percentage of Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools	76.3%	59.4%
61	Comments:	Not all Visalia Projects are merged, as such the PPA cannot be shared.	

Disclosures:

(1) ERAF in RDA pass through calculation is included in this calculation

Case law "LAUSD vs LA County"