(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: January 2015 - June 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 14-15B

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Countywide Totals	County RDA
1	RPTTF Deposits (Note that entering the deposits by source is optional):		
2	Secured & Unsecured Property Tax Increment (TI)	17,550,495	2,798,190
3	Supplemental & Unitary Property TI	-	-
4	Interest Earnings/Other	-	
5	Penalty Assessments	-	
6	Total RPTTF Deposits (sum of lines 2:5)	17,550,495	2,798,190
7	Total RPTTF Balance Available to Fund CAC Admin and Passthroughs	17,550,495	2,798,190
8	RPTTF Distributions - Include all payments made pursuant to Health and Safety Code (H&S) Section 34183. Note	that the following distributions are n	ot necessary listed in the p
9	Administrative Distributions-		
10	Administrative Fees to CAC	3,230	530
11	SB 2557 Administration Fees	383,125	60,944
12	SCO Invoices for Audit and Oversight - Funding should only be allocated for this purpose when there is sufficient RPTTF to fully fund the approved enforceable obligations as shown on line 35.		-
13	Total Administrative Distributions (sum of lines 10:12)	386,355	61,474
14	Passthrough Distributions-		
15	City Passthrough Payments	197,744	-
16	County Passthrough Payments	3,126,164	136,435
17	Special District Passthrough Payments	452,099	254,063
18	K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion	178,712	24,582
19	K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion	992,393	168,681
20	Community College Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion	30,294	4,104
21	Community College Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion	165,046	30,103
22	County Office of Education - Tax Portion	8,063	3,053
23	County Office of Education - Facilities Portion	91,789	23,621
24	Education Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF)		-
25	Total Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 15:24)	5,242,304	644,642
26	Total Administrative and Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 13 and 25)	5,628,659	706,116

(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: January 2015 - June 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 14-15B

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Countywide Totals	County RDA
27	Total RPTTF Balance Available to Fund Successor Agency (SA) Enforceable Obligations (EOs) (line 6 - 26)	11,921,836	2,092,074
28	Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution - Include the total RPTTF approved for SA non-admin and admin costs, a (DDR) process, as indicated in the letter, on lines 33 and 34. Note that CACs should first apply the withholding to the L affected taxing entities (ATEs) accordingly.		, :
29	Non-Admin EOs	6,705,343	_
30	Admin EOs	537,332	-
	Less PPAs - Amount should be entered as a negative number.	(487,414)	(68,278)
31 32	·	(467,414)	(00,270)
	Less RPTTF Withholding - Amounts should be entered as a negative number: LMIHF	(100,000)	
33	OFA	(100,000)	
34	-	-	(00.070)
35	Total Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution (sum of lines 29:34)	6,655,261	(68,278)
36	CAC Distributed ROPS RPTTF- CACs should first apply the negative PPA and RPTTF withholding amounts to the no		ply the balances to the adr
37	Non-Admin EOs	4,971,529	-
38	Admin EOs	147,618	·
39	Total CAC Distributed RPTTF for SA EOs (sum of lines 37 and 38)	5,119,147	•
	Formula check to determine whether the lesser of the total Finance approved RPTTF or the total RPTTF balance		
40	available to fund EOs was allocated to the SA. Please explain all amounts shown in the comments section.	23,702	68,278
41	Net ROPS 14-15 and DDR Withholding RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 27 - 39)	6,802,689	2,092,074
42	Less RPTTF Withholdings - The following withheld amounts should be reported on the respective LMIHF and OFA a	ctuals reports and distributed to th	ne affected taxing entities (,
43	LMIHF	(100,000)	.o amootoa taxiing ominoo (i
44	OFA	· ,	
45	Total Actual RPTTF Withholdings (sum of lines 43 and 44)	(100,000)	-
.0	Total ROPS 14-15B Only RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 41 + 45) - Excludes RPTTF	(100,000)	
46	withholding residuals paid to the ATEs as shown on line 45.	6,702,689	2,092,074
47	RPTTF Distributions to ATEs - Payments pursuant to H&S Section 34183(a)(4). Include the effect of "haircutting" pu	rsuant to H&S Section 34188. Not	e that the totals on lines 46
48	Cities	661,324	-
49	Counties	1,149,036	627,720
		• •	,

(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: January 2015 - June 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 14-15B

County: Tulare

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Countywide Totals	County RDA
50	Special Districts	356,100	68,948
51	K-12 Schools	2,279,375	694,158
52	Community Colleges	372,940	122,090
53	County Office of Education	215,449	99,617
54	Total ERAF - Please break out the ERAF amounts into the following categories if possible. (sum of lines 55:57)	1,668,465	479,541
55	ERAF - K-12	-	
56	ERAF - Community Colleges	-	
57	ERAF - County Offices of Education	-	
	Total RPTTF Distributions to ATEs (sum of lines 48:54) - Total residual distributions must equal the total residual		
58	balance as shown on line 46.	6,702,689	2,092,074
59	Total Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools (sum of lines 51:54):	4,536,229	1,395,406
60	Percentage of Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools	67.7%	66.7%
61	Comments:	P	PPA amount is in excess

PPA amount is in excess of ROPS 14-15B DOF approved RPTTF distribution amount

Disclosures:

(1) ERAF in RDA pass through calculation is not included in this calculation since the methodology is still pending in the courts.

The Contigent Liability is due to Case law "LAUSD vs LA County"

(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: January 2015 - June 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 14-15B

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Dinuba RDA	Exeter RDA
1	RPTTF Deposits (Note that entering the deposits by source is optional):		
2	Secured & Unsecured Property Tax Increment (TI)	3,318,113	609,571
3	Supplemental & Unitary Property TI	-	-
4	Interest Earnings/Other		
5	Penalty Assessments		
6	Total RPTTF Deposits (sum of lines 2:5)	3,318,113	609,571
7	Total RPTTF Balance Available to Fund CAC Admin and Passthroughs	3,318,113	609,571
8	RPTTF Distributions - Include all payments made pursuant to Health and Safety Code (H&S) Section 34183. Note to	briority order required by H&S	34183.
9	Administrative Distributions-		
10	Administrative Fees to CAC	466	111
11	SB 2557 Administration Fees	71,669	13,238
12	SCO Invoices for Audit and Oversight - Funding should only be allocated for this purpose when there is sufficient RPTTF to fully fund the approved enforceable obligations as shown on line 35.		
13	Total Administrative Distributions (sum of lines 10:12)	72,135	13,349
14	Passthrough Distributions-		
15	City Passthrough Payments	54,391	-
16	County Passthrough Payments	504,668	97,049
17	Special District Passthrough Payments	20,636	19,276
18	K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion	36,007	-
19	K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion	47,812	58,829
20	Community College Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion	6,599	-
21	Community College Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion	8,847	8,199
22	County Office of Education - Tax Portion	1,393	-
23	County Office of Education - Facilities Portion	6,757	3,786
24	Education Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF)	-	_
25	Total Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 15:24)	687,110	187,139
26	Total Administrative and Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 13 and 25)	759,245	200,488

(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: January 2015 - June 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 14-15B

#	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Dinuba RDA	Exeter RDA
	Total RPTTF Balance Available to Fund Successor Agency (SA) Enforceable Obligations (EOs) (line 6 - 26)	2,558,868	409,083
	Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution - Include the total RPTTF approved for SA non-admin and admin costs, to (DDR) process, as indicated in the letter, on lines 33 and 34. Note that CACs should first apply the withholding to the heaffected taxing entities (ATEs) accordingly.		
	Non-Admin EOs	3,825,582	-
	Admin EOs	125,000	-
	Less PPAs - Amount should be entered as a negative number.	-	-
	Less RPTTF Withholding - Amounts should be entered as a negative number:		
	LMIHF		
	OFA		
	Total Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution (sum of lines 29:34)	3,950,582	-
	CAC Distributed ROPS RPTTF- CACs should first apply the negative PPA and RPTTF withholding amounts to the nm	in distributions if necessary.	
	Non-Admin EOs	2,558,827	-
	Admin EOs	41	-
	Total CAC Distributed RPTTF for SA EOs (sum of lines 37 and 38)	2,558,868	-
	Formula check to determine whether the lesser of the total Finance approved RPTTF or the total RPTTF balance available to fund EOs was allocated to the SA. Please explain all amounts shown in the comments section.	_	_
	Net ROPS 14-15 and DDR Withholding RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 27 - 39)	-	409,083
	Less RPTTF Withholdings - The following withheld amounts should be reported on the respective LMIHF and OFA & LMIHF	TEs) accordingly. In addition	•
	OFA		
	Total Actual RPTTF Withholdings (sum of lines 43 and 44)	-	-
	Total ROPS 14-15B Only RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 41 + 45) - Excludes RPTTF withholding residuals paid to the ATEs as shown on line 45.	_	409,083
	RPTTF Distributions to ATEs - Payments pursuant to H&S Section 34183(a)(4). Include the effect of "haircutting" pô	and 58 need to match. Positi	ve or negative amounts
	Cities	-	63,970

(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: January 2015 - June 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 14-15B

County: Tulare

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Dinuba RDA	Exeter RDA
50	Special Districts	-	15,227
51	K-12 Schools	-	129,632
52	Community Colleges	-	18,068
53	County Office of Education	-	8,343
54	Total ERAF - Please break out the ERAF amounts into the following categories if possible. (sum of lines 55:57)	-	87,750
55	ERAF - K-12		
56	ERAF - Community Colleges		
57	ERAF - County Offices of Education		
	Total RPTTF Distributions to ATEs (sum of lines 48:54) - Total residual distributions must equal the total residual		
58	balance as shown on line 46.	-	409,083
59	Total Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools (sum of lines 51:54):	-	243,793
60	Percentage of Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools		59.6%
61	Comments:		

Disclosures:

(1) ERAF in RDA pass through calculation is not included in this calculation since the methodology is still pending. The Contigent Liability is due to Case law "LAUSD vs LA County"

(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: January 2015 - June 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 14-15B

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Farmersville RDA	Lindsay RDA
1	RPTTF Deposits (Note that entering the deposits by source is optional):		
2	Secured & Unsecured Property Tax Increment (TI)	510,957	1,198,084
3	Supplemental & Unitary Property TI	-	-
4	Interest Earnings/Other		
5	Penalty Assessments		
6	Total RPTTF Deposits (sum of lines 2:5)	510,957	1,198,084
7	Total RPTTF Balance Available to Fund CAC Admin and Passthroughs	510,957	1,198,084
8	RPTTF Distributions - Include all payments made pursuant to Health and Safety Code (H&S) Section 34183. Note t		
9	Administrative Distributions-		
10	Administrative Fees to CAC	115	356
11	SB 2557 Administration Fees	10,864	25,939
12	SCO Invoices for Audit and Oversight - Funding should only be allocated for this purpose when there is sufficient RPTTF to fully fund the approved enforceable obligations as shown on line 35.	-	<u>-</u>
13	Total Administrative Distributions (sum of lines 10:12)	10,979	26,295
14	Passthrough Distributions-		
15	City Passthrough Payments	13,476	15,815
16	County Passthrough Payments	27,907	130,776
17	Special District Passthrough Payments	6,227	18,306
18	K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion	12,949	18,434
19	K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion	18,325	76,354
20	Community College Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion	1,574	3,498
21	Community College Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion	1,875	12,027
22	County Office of Education - Tax Portion	289	646
23	County Office of Education - Facilities Portion	1,294	6,933
24	Education Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF)	-	-
25	Total Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 15:24)	83,916	282,789
26	Total Administrative and Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 13 and 25)	94,895	309,084

(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: January 2015 - June 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 14-15B

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Farmersville RDA	Lindsay RDA
27	Total RPTTF Balance Available to Fund Successor Agency (SA) Enforceable Obligations (EOs) (line 6 - 26)	416,062	889,000
20	Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution - Include the total RPTTF approved for SA non-admin and admin costs, et (DDR) process, as indicated in the letter, on lines 33 and 34. Note that CACs should first apply the withholding to the Fundamental toxing application (ATEs) assertingly.		
28	affected taxing entities (ATEs) accordingly. Non-Admin EOs	152,852	974,986
29	Admin EOs	,	•
30		22,000	26,832
31	Less PPAs - Amount should be entered as a negative number.	(37,400)	-
32	Less RPTTF Withholding - Amounts should be entered as a negative number:		(,,,,,,,,)
33	LMIHF		(100,000)
34	OFA		
35	Total Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution (sum of lines 29:34)	137,452	901,818
36	CAC Distributed ROPS RPTTF- CACs should first apply the negative PPA and RPTTF withholding amounts to the n		
37	Non-Admin EOs	137,391	789,000
38	Admin EOs	61	-
39	Total CAC Distributed RPTTF for SA EOs (sum of lines 37 and 38)	137,452	789,000
40	Formula check to determine whether the lesser of the total Finance approved RPTTF or the total RPTTF balance		(400,000)
40	available to fund EOs was allocated to the SA. Please explain all amounts shown in the comments section.	-	(100,000)
41	Net ROPS 14-15 and DDR Withholding RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 27 - 39)	278,610	100,000
42	Less RPTTF Withholdings - The following withheld amounts should be reported on the respective LMIHF and OFA a	entered as a negative numb	er. Note that the amoun
43	LMIHF		(100,000)
44	OFA		
45	Total Actual RPTTF Withholdings (sum of lines 43 and 44)	-	(100,000)
	Total ROPS 14-15B Only RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 41 + 45) - Excludes RPTTF		
46	withholding residuals paid to the ATEs as shown on line 45.	278,610	(0)
47	RPTTF Distributions to ATEs - Payments pursuant to H&S Section 34183(a)(4). Include the effect of "haircutting" pus	shown on line 40 should be o	considered and/or correc
48	Cities	31,835	-
49	Counties	45,551	-

(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Finance. Per DOF's October 10, 2014 letter.

Allocation Period: January 2015 - June 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 14-15B

County: Tulare

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Farmersville RDA	Lindsay RDA
50	Special Districts	15,472	-
51	K-12 Schools	112,454	-
52	Community Colleges	11,972	-
53	County Office of Education	5,530	-
54	Total ERAF - Please break out the ERAF amounts into the following categories if possible. (sum of lines 55:57)	55,796	-
55	ERAF - K-12		
56	ERAF - Community Colleges		
57	ERAF - County Offices of Education		
	Total RPTTF Distributions to ATEs (sum of lines 48:54) - Total residual distributions must equal the total residual		
58	balance as shown on line 46.	278,610	-
59	Total Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools (sum of lines 51:54):	185,752	-
60	Percentage of Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools	66.7%	
61	Comments:		\$100,000 LMIHF withholding from RPTTF as directed by

Disclosures:

(1) ERAF in RDA pass through calculation is not included in this calculation since the methodology is still pending. The Contigent Liability is due to Case law "LAUSD vs LA County"

(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: January 2015 - June 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 14-15B

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Porterville RDA	Tulare RDA
1	RPTTF Deposits (Note that entering the deposits by source is optional):		
2	Secured & Unsecured Property Tax Increment (TI)	610,216	3,685,097
3	Supplemental & Unitary Property TI	-	-
4	Interest Earnings/Other		
5	Penalty Assessments		
6	Total RPTTF Deposits (sum of lines 2:5)	610,216	3,685,097
7	Total RPTTF Balance Available to Fund CAC Admin and Passthroughs	610,216	3,685,097
8	RPTTF Distributions - Include all payments made pursuant to Health and Safety Code (H&S) Section 34183. Note t		
9	Administrative Distributions-		
10	Administrative Fees to CAC	171	581
11	SB 2557 Administration Fees	13,855	81,608
12	SCO Invoices for Audit and Oversight - Funding should only be allocated for this purpose when there is sufficient RPTTF to fully fund the approved enforceable obligations as shown on line 35.	-	
13	Total Administrative Distributions (sum of lines 10:12)	14,026	82,189
14	Passthrough Distributions-		
15	City Passthrough Payments	-	96,086
16	County Passthrough Payments	36,963	637,619
17	Special District Passthrough Payments	2,829	49,200
18	K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion	-	69,389
19	K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion	55,990	113,591
20	Community College Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion	-	11,683
21	Community College Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion	12,959	16,365
22	County Office of Education - Tax Portion	-	2,158
23	County Office of Education - Facilities Portion	4,183	10,794
24	Education Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF)	<u>-</u>	
25	Total Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 15:24)	112,924	1,006,885
26	Total Administrative and Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 13 and 25)	126,950	1,089,074

(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: January 2015 - June 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 14-15B

# _	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Porterville RDA	Tulare RDA
	Total RPTTF Balance Available to Fund Successor Agency (SA) Enforceable Obligations (EOs) (line 6 - 26)	483,266	2,596,023
	Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution - Include the total RPTTF approved for SA non-admin and admin costs, ou (DDR) process, as indicated in the letter, on lines 33 and 34. Note that CACs should first apply the withholding to the bll affected taxing entities (ATEs) accordingly.		
•	Non-Admin EOs	592,878	975,145
	Admin EOs	51,000	125,000
	Less PPAs - Amount should be entered as a negative number.	(5,328)	(167,779)
	Less RPTTF Withholding - Amounts should be entered as a negative number:	, ,	,
	LMIHF		
	OFA		
•	Total Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution (sum of lines 29:34)	638,550	932,366
(CAC Distributed ROPS RPTTF- CACs should first apply the negative PPA and RPTTF withholding amounts to the n		
	Non-Admin EOs	483,266	909,850
	Admin EOs	-	22,516
	Total CAC Distributed RPTTF for SA EOs (sum of lines 37 and 38)	483,266	932,366
	Formula check to determine whether the lesser of the total Finance approved RPTTF or the total RPTTF balance available to fund EOs was allocated to the SA. Please explain all amounts shown in the comments section.	_	_
-	Net ROPS 14-15 and DDR Withholding RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 27 - 39)		1,663,657
-	Less RPTTF Withholdings - The following withheld amounts should be reported on the respective LMIHF and OFA to LMIHF	on lines 43 and 44 should b	
	OFA		
-	Total Actual RPTTF Withholdings (sum of lines 43 and 44)	-	-
	Total ROPS 14-15B Only RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 41 + 45) - Excludes RPTTF withholding residuals paid to the ATEs as shown on line 45.		1,663,657
Ī	RPTTF Distributions to ATEs - Payments pursuant to H&S Section 34183(a)(4). Include the effect of "haircutting" pute	ed before the funds shown o	n line 46 are distributed
	Cities	-	249,535
	Counties		

(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: January 2015 - June 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 14-15B

County: Tulare

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Porterville RDA	Tulare RDA
50	Special Districts	-	128,841
51	K-12 Schools	-	544,865
52	Community Colleges	-	83,466
53	County Office of Education	-	38,540
54	Total ERAF - Please break out the ERAF amounts into the following categories if possible. (sum of lines 55:57)	-	410,568
55	ERAF - K-12		
56	ERAF - Community Colleges		
57	ERAF - County Offices of Education		
	Total RPTTF Distributions to ATEs (sum of lines 48:54) - Total residual distributions must equal the total residual		
58	balance as shown on line 46.	-	1,663,657
59	Total Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools (sum of lines 51:54):	-	1,077,439
60	Percentage of Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools		64.8%
61	Comments:		

Disclosures:

(1) ERAF in RDA pass through calculation is not included in this calculation since the methodology is still pending. The Contigent Liability is due to Case law "LAUSD vs LA County"

(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: January 2015 - June 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 14-15B

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Visalia RDA	Woodlake RDA
1	RPTTF Deposits (Note that entering the deposits by source is optional):		
2	Secured & Unsecured Property Tax Increment (TI)	4,351,536	468,733
3	Supplemental & Unitary Property TI	-	-
4	Interest Earnings/Other		
5	Penalty Assessments		
6	Total RPTTF Deposits (sum of lines 2:5)	4,351,536	468,733
7	Total RPTTF Balance Available to Fund CAC Admin and Passthroughs	4,351,536	468,733
8	RPTTF Distributions - Include all payments made pursuant to Health and Safety Code (H&S) Section 34183. Note t		
9	Administrative Distributions-		
10	Administrative Fees to CAC	729	171
11	SB 2557 Administration Fees	95,460	9,550
12	SCO Invoices for Audit and Oversight - Funding should only be allocated for this purpose when there is sufficient RPTTF to fully fund the approved enforceable obligations as shown on line 35.	-	_
13	Total Administrative Distributions (sum of lines 10:12)	96,189	9,721
14	Passthrough Distributions-		
15	City Passthrough Payments	-	17,976
16	County Passthrough Payments	1,510,767	43,980
17	Special District Passthrough Payments	69,221	12,341
18	K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion	-	17,350
19	K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion	430,092	22,720
20	Community College Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion	-	2,837
21	Community College Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion	71,535	3,135
22	County Office of Education - Tax Portion	-	524
23	County Office of Education - Facilities Portion	32,187	2,234
24	Education Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF)	-	-
25	Total Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 15:24)	2,113,802	123,097
26	Total Administrative and Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 13 and 25)	2,209,991	132,818

(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: January 2015 - June 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 14-15B

Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Visalia RDA	Woodlake RDA
Total RPTTF Balance Available to Fund Successor Agency (SA) Enforceable Obligations (EOs) (line 6 - 26)	2,141,545	335,915
Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution - Include the total RPTTF approved for SA non-admin and admin costs, (DDR) process, as indicated in the letter, on lines 33 and 34. Note that CACs should first apply the withholding to the		
affected taxing entities (ATEs) accordingly.	4.45.000	00.000
Non-Admin EOs	145,900	38,000
Admin EOs	125,000	62,500
Less PPAs - Amount should be entered as a negative number.	(99,079)	(109,550)
Less RPTTF Withholding - Amounts should be entered as a negative number:		
LMIHF		
OFA		
Total Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution (sum of lines 29:34)	171,821	(9,050)
CAC Distributed ROPS RPTTF- CACs should first apply the negative PPA and RPTTF withholding amounts to the n)	
Non-Admin EOs	55,300	37,895
Admin EOs	125,000	-
Total CAC Distributed RPTTF for SA EOs (sum of lines 37 and 38)	180,300	37,895
Formula check to determine whether the lesser of the total Finance approved RPTTF or the total RPTTF balance		
available to fund EOs was allocated to the SA. Please explain all amounts shown in the comments section.	8,479	46,945
Net ROPS 14-15 and DDR Withholding RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 27 - 39)	1,961,245	298,020
Less RPTTF Withholdings - The following withheld amounts should be reported on the respective LMIHF and OFA	the amounts on 33 and 34.	
LMIHF		
OFA		
Total Actual RPTTF Withholdings (sum of lines 43 and 44)	-	-
Total ROPS 14-15B Only RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 41 + 45) - Excludes RPTTF		
withholding residuals paid to the ATEs as shown on line 45.	1,961,245	298,020
RPTTF Distributions to ATEs - Payments pursuant to H&S Section 34183(a)(4). Include the effect of "haircutting" per	to the ATEs.	
Cities	269,305	46,679
Counties	130,537	51,293

(to be completed by County Auditor-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: January 2015 - June 2015

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 14-15B

County: Tulare

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Visalia RDA	Woodlake RDA	
50	Special Districts	104,662	22,950	
51	K-12 Schools	706,521	91,745	
52	Community Colleges	123,670	13,674	
53	County Office of Education	57,105	6,314	
54	Total ERAF - Please break out the ERAF amounts into the following categories if possible. (sum of lines 55:57)	569,445	65,365	
55	ERAF - K-12			
56	ERAF - Community Colleges			
57	ERAF - County Offices of Education			
58	Total RPTTF Distributions to ATEs (sum of lines 48:54) - Total residual distributions must equal the total residual balance as shown on line 46.	1,961,245	298,020	
59	Total Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools (sum of lines 51:54):	1,456,741	177,098	
60	Percentage of Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools	74.3%	59.4%	
61	Comments:	Not all Visalia Projects are merged, as such the PPA cannot be shared.	\$109,445 Admin Prior Period Adjustment was deducted to the extent of the approved admin amount and there was \$105 in non-admin prior period adjustment deducted from the approved non-admin amount.	

Disclosures:

(1) ERAF in RDA pass through calculation is not included in this calculation since the methodology is still pending The Contigent Liability is due to Case law "LAUSD vs LA County"