Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (RC (to be completed by County Auditor

Allocation Period: January 2016 - June 2016

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 15-16B

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Countywide Totals	County RDA
1	RPTTF Deposits (Note that entering the deposits by source is optional):		
2	Secured & Unsecured Property Tax Increment (TI)	16,742,692	2,554,091
3	Supplemental & Unitary Property TI	-	-
4	Interest Earnings/Other	-	
5	Penalty Assessments	-	
6	Total RPTTF Deposits (sum of lines 2:5)	16,742,692	2,554,091
7	Total RPTTF Balance Available to Fund CAC Admin and Passthroughs	16,742,692	2,554,091
8	RPTTF Distributions - Include all payments made pursuant to Health and Safety Code (H&S) Section 34183. N	ote that the following distributions a	are not necessary listed in
9	Administrative Distributions-		
10	Administrative Fees to CAC	16,656	2,502
11	SB 2557 Administration Fees	382,529	58,647
12	SCO Invoices for Audit and Oversight - Funding should only be allocated for this purpose when there is sufficient RPTTF to fully fund the approved enforceable obligations as shown on line 35.	47,167	_
13	Total Administrative Distributions (sum of lines 10:12)	446,352	61,149
14	Passthrough Distributions-		0.,
15	City Passthrough Payments	155,293	-
16	County Passthrough Payments	2,871,681	98,030
17	Special District Passthrough Payments	412,067	227,287
18	K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion	272,275	35,382
19	K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion	1,193,924	191,265
20	Community College Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion	47,044	6,600
21	Community College Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion	196,209	34,447
22	County Office of Education - Tax Portion	8,803	1,131
23	County Office of Education - Facilities Portion	100,574	16,081
24	Education Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF)		
25	Total Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 15:24)	5,257,870	610,222
26	Total Administrative and Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 13 and 25)	5,704,222	671,371

Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (RC

(to be completed by County Auditor

Allocation Period: January 2016 - June 2016

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 15-16B

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Countywide Totals	County RDA
27	26)	11,038,470	1,882,720
28	Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution - Include the total RPTTF approved for SA non-admin and admin cos Review (DDR) process, as indicated in the letter, on lines 33 and 34. Note that CACs should first apply the withhold distributed to the affected taxing entities (ATEs) accordingly.		,
29	Non-Admin EOs	9,222,704	-
30	Admin EOs	505,504	-
31	Less PPAs - Amount should be entered as a negative number.	(198,542)	-
32	Less RPTTF Withholding - Amounts should be entered as a negative number:	-	
33	LMIHF	-	
34	OFA	-	
35	Total Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution (sum of lines 29:34)	9,529,666	-
36	CAC Distributed ROPS RPTTF- CACs should first apply the negative PPA and RPTTF withholding amounts to the	e non-admin distributions and	then apply the balances to the
37	Non-Admin EOs	6,514,191	-
38	Admin EOs	414,848	-
39	Total CAC Distributed RPTTF for SA EOs (sum of lines 37 and 38)	6,929,039	-
40	Formula check to determine whether the lesser of the total Finance approved RPTTF or the total RPTTF balance available to fund EOs was allocated to the SA. Please explain all amounts shown in the comments section.	22,834	
41	Net ROPS 13-14 and DDR Withholding RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 27 - 39)	4,109,431	1,882,720
42 43	Less RPTTF Withholdings - The following withheld amounts should be reported on the respective LMIHF and OF LMIHF	FA actuals reports and distribut	ed to the affected taxing entit
44	OFA	-	
45	Total Actual RPTTF Withholdings (sum of lines 43 and 44)	-	-
46	Total ROPS 15-16B Only RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 41 + 45) - Excludes RPTTF withholding residuals paid to the ATEs as shown on line 45.	4,109,431	1,882,720

Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (RC

(to be completed by County Auditor

Allocation Period: January 2016 - June 2016

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 15-16B

County: Tulare

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Countywide Totals	County RDA
47	RPTTF Distributions to ATEs - Payments pursuant to H&S Section 34183(a)(4). Include the effect of "haircutting"	pursuant to H&S Section 34188.	Note that the totals on lin
48	Cities	319,595	-
49	Counties	749,871	541,152
50	Special Districts	174,717	55,838
51	K-12 Schools	1,435,042	636,488
52	Community Colleges	239,778	111,975
53	County Office of Education	111,888	52,876
54	55:57)	1,078,540	484,391
55	ERAF - K-12	-	
56	ERAF - Community Colleges	-	
57	ERAF - County Offices of Education	-	
	Total RPTTF Distributions to ATEs (sum of lines 48:54) - Total residual distributions must equal the total		
58	residual balance as shown on line 46.	4,109,431	1,882,720
59	Total Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools (sum of lines 51:54):	2,865,248	1,285,730
60	Percentage of Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools	69.7%	68.3%
61	Comments:	·	

Disclosures:

(1) ERAF in RDA pass through calculation is included in this calculation

Case law "LAUSD vs LA County"

RecogPS) Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTT -Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: January 2016 - June 2016

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 15-16B

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Dinuba RDA	Exeter RDA	Farmersville RDA
1	RPTTF Deposits (Note that entering the deposits by source is optional):			
2	Secured & Unsecured Property Tax Increment (TI)	3,295,024	590,111	499,273
3	Supplemental & Unitary Property TI	-	-	-
4	Interest Earnings/Other			
5	Penalty Assessments			
6	Total RPTTF Deposits (sum of lines 2:5)	3,295,024	590,111	499,273
7	Total RPTTF Balance Available to Fund CAC Admin and Passthroughs	3,295,024	590,111	499,273
8	RPTTF Distributions - Include all payments made pursuant to Health and Safety Code (H&S) Section 34183. No	the priority order required by	H&S 34183	
9	Administrative Distributions-			
10	Administrative Fees to CAC	3,227	538	603
11	SB 2557 Administration Fees	74,016	13,585	11,389
	SCO Invoices for Audit and Oversight - Funding should only be allocated for this purpose when there is			
12	sufficient RPTTF to fully fund the approved enforceable obligations as shown on line 35.	22,255	-	-
13	Total Administrative Distributions (sum of lines 10:12)	99,498	14,123	11,992
14	Passthrough Distributions-			
15	City Passthrough Payments	47,681	-	9,615
16	County Passthrough Payments	480,889	113,412	19,597
17	Special District Passthrough Payments	18,243	19,407	7,279
18	K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion	59,563	-	24,755
19	K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion	81,537	62,620	45,931
20	Community College Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion	10,917	-	3,017
21	Community College Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion	14,193	8,728	4,680
22	County Office of Education - Tax Portion	2,304	-	549
23	County Office of Education - Facilities Portion	10,943	4,030	2,964
24	Education Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF)	-	-	-
25	Total Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 15:24)	726,270	208,197	118,387
26	Total Administrative and Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 13 and 25)	825,768	222,320	130,379

RecogPS) Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTT -Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: January 2016 - June 2016

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 15-16B

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Dinuba RDA	Exeter RDA	Farmersville RDA
27	26)	2,469,256	367,791	368,894
28	Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution - Include the total RPTTF approved for SA non-admin and admin cos Review (DDR) process, as indicated in the letter, on lines 33 and 34. Note that CACs should first apply the withhold distributed to the affected taxing entities (ATEs) accordingly.			-
29	Non-Admin EOs	3,660,444	-	155,424
30	Admin EOs	124,999	-	2,002
31	Less PPAs - Amount should be entered as a negative number.	-	-	(19,998)
32	Less RPTTF Withholding - Amounts should be entered as a negative number:			
33	LMIHF			
34	OFA			
35	Total Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution (sum of lines 29:34)	3,785,443	-	137,428
36	CAC Distributed ROPS RPTTF- CACs should first apply the negative PPA and RPTTF withholding amounts to the	e admin distributions if neces	ssary.	
37	Non-Admin EOs	2,344,257	-	155,424
38	Admin EOs	124,999	-	<u>-</u>
39	Total CAC Distributed RPTTF for SA EOs (sum of lines 37 and 38)	2,469,256	-	155,424
40	Formula check to determine whether the lesser of the total Finance approved RPTTF or the total RPTTF balance available to fund EOs was allocated to the SA. Please explain all amounts shown in the comments section.	(0)		17,996
41	Net ROPS 13-14 and DDR Withholding RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 27 - 39)	-	367,791	213,470
42 43 44	Less RPTTF Withholdings - The following withheld amounts should be reported on the respective LMIHF and OF LMIHF OFA	ies (ATEs) accordingly. In ac	ddition, the amounts shou	ld be entered as a negat
45	Total Actual RPTTF Withholdings (sum of lines 43 and 44)		-	_
	Total ROPS 15-16B Only RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 41 + 45) - Excludes RPTTF			
46	withholding residuals paid to the ATEs as shown on line 45.	-	367,791	213,470

RecogPS) Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTT

-Controllers (CACs) - all values should be reported in whole dollars)

Allocation Period: January 2016 - June 2016

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 15-16B

County: Tulare

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Dinuba RDA	Exeter RDA	Farmersville RDA
47	RPTTF Distributions to ATEs - Payments pursuant to H&S Section 34183(a)(4). Include the effect of "haircutting and the section of the section	nges 46 and 58 need to match.	Positive or negative amo	unts shown on line 40 sh
48	Cities	-	57,827	24,307
49	Counties	-	77,031	35,155
50	Special Districts	-	13,718	11,983
51	K-12 Schools	-	116,729	85,868
52	Community Colleges	-	16,270	9,213
53	County Office of Education	-	7,513	4,255
54	55:57)	-	78,703	42,689
55	ERAF - K-12			
56	ERAF - Community Colleges			
57	ERAF - County Offices of Education			
	Total RPTTF Distributions to ATEs (sum of lines 48:54) - Total residual distributions must equal the total			
58	residual balance as shown on line 46.	-	367,791	213,470
59	Total Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools (sum of lines 51:54):	-	219,215	142,025
60	Percentage of Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools		59.6%	66.5%
61	Comments:			PPA amount is in

excess of ROPS 15-16B
DOF approved RPTTF
distribution amount

Disclosures:

(1) ERAF in RDA pass through calculation is included in this calculation

Case law "LAUSD vs LA County"

RecogF) Distributions

Allocation Period: January 2016 - June 2016

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 15-16B

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Lindsay RDA	Porterville RDA	Tulare RDA
1	RPTTF Deposits (Note that entering the deposits by source is optional):			
2	Secured & Unsecured Property Tax Increment (TI)	1,213,848	690,682	3,345,167
3	Supplemental & Unitary Property TI	-	-	-
4	Interest Earnings/Other			
5	Penalty Assessments			
6	Total RPTTF Deposits (sum of lines 2:5)	1,213,848	690,682	3,345,167
7	Total RPTTF Balance Available to Fund CAC Admin and Passthroughs	1,213,848	690,682	3,345,167
8	RPTTF Distributions - Include all payments made pursuant to Health and Safety Code (H&S) Section 34183. No			
9	Administrative Distributions-			
10	Administrative Fees to CAC	1,208	659	3,435
11	SB 2557 Administration Fees	27,509	15,383	77,443
	SCO Invoices for Audit and Oversight - Funding should only be allocated for this purpose when there is			
12	sufficient RPTTF to fully fund the approved enforceable obligations as shown on line 35.	24,912	<u> </u>	
13	Total Administrative Distributions (sum of lines 10:12)	53,629	16,042	80,878
14	Passthrough Distributions-			
15	City Passthrough Payments	15,500	2,882	66,596
16	County Passthrough Payments	120,603	47,614	522,895
17	Special District Passthrough Payments	19,473	3,888	38,057
18	K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion	21,911	5,509	99,377
19	K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion	99,320	66,321	160,223
20	Community College Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion	4,152	1,398	16,745
21	Community College Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion	15,876	15,225	23,080
22	County Office of Education - Tax Portion	767	181	3,093
23	County Office of Education - Facilities Portion	8,921	5,186	15,299
24	Education Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF)	-	-	-
25	Total Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 15:24)	306,523	148,203	945,365
26	Total Administrative and Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 13 and 25)	360,152	164,245	1,026,243

RecogF) Distributions

Allocation Period: January 2016 - June 2016

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 15-16B

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Lindsay RDA	Porterville RDA	Tulare RDA
27	26)	853,696	526,437	2,318,924
28	Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution - Include the total RPTTF approved for SA non-admin and admin cos Review (DDR) process, as indicated in the letter, on lines 33 and 34. Note that CACs should first apply the withhold distributed to the affected taxing entities (ATEs) accordingly.			
29	Non-Admin EOs	2,025,913	515,539	2,308,647
30	Admin EOs	12,501	41,000	150,002
31	Less PPAs - Amount should be entered as a negative number.	(44,775)	-	(2,496)
32	Less RPTTF Withholding - Amounts should be entered as a negative number:			
33	LMIHF			
34	OFA			
35	Total Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution (sum of lines 29:34)	1,993,639	556,539	2,456,153
36	CAC Distributed ROPS RPTTF- CACs should first apply the negative PPA and RPTTF withholding amounts to the			
37	Non-Admin EOs	841,195	485,437	2,168,922
38	Admin EOs	12,501	41,000	150,002
39	Total CAC Distributed RPTTF for SA EOs (sum of lines 37 and 38)	853,696	526,437	2,318,924
40	Formula check to determine whether the lesser of the total Finance approved RPTTF or the total RPTTF balance available to fund EOs was allocated to the SA. Please explain all amounts shown in the comments section.	-	_	
41	Net ROPS 13-14 and DDR Withholding RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 27 - 39)	-	-	-
42 43	Less RPTTF Withholdings - The following withheld amounts should be reported on the respective LMIHF and OI LMIHF OFA	ive number. Note that the ar	nounts on lines 43 and 44 s	should be equal to or les
44	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
45	Total Actual RPTTF Withholdings (sum of lines 43 and 44)	-	-	-
46	Total ROPS 15-16B Only RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 41 + 45) - Excludes RPTTF withholding residuals paid to the ATEs as shown on line 45.	_	-	-

RecogF) Distributions

Allocation Period: January 2016 - June 2016

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 15-16B

County: Tulare

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Lindsay RDA	Porterville RDA	Tulare RDA
47	RPTTF Distributions to ATEs - Payments pursuant to H&S Section 34183(a)(4). Include the effect of "haircutting and the content of the content	ngould be considered and/or c	orrected before the funds s	hown on line 46 are dis
48	Cities	-	-	-
49	Counties	-	-	-
50	Special Districts	-	-	-
51	K-12 Schools	-	-	-
52	Community Colleges	-	-	-
53	County Office of Education	-	-	-
54	55:57)	-	-	-
55	ERAF - K-12			
56	ERAF - Community Colleges			
57	ERAF - County Offices of Education			
	Total RPTTF Distributions to ATEs (sum of lines 48:54) - Total residual distributions must equal the total			
58	residual balance as shown on line 46.	-	-	-
59	Total Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools (sum of lines 51:54):	-	-	-
60	Percentage of Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools			
61	Comments:	·	·	

Disclosures:

(1) ERAF in RDA pass through calculation is included in this calculation

Case law "LAUSD vs LA County"

Allocation Period: January 2016 - June 2016

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 15-16B

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Visalia RDA	Woodlake RDA
1	RPTTF Deposits (Note that entering the deposits by source is optional):		
2	Secured & Unsecured Property Tax Increment (TI)	4,140,349	414,148
3	Supplemental & Unitary Property TI	-	-
4	Interest Earnings/Other		
5	Penalty Assessments		
6	Total RPTTF Deposits (sum of lines 2:5)	4,140,349	414,148
7	Total RPTTF Balance Available to Fund CAC Admin and Passthroughs	4,140,349	414,148
8	RPTTF Distributions - Include all payments made pursuant to Health and Safety Code (H&S) Section 34183. No		
9	Administrative Distributions-		
10	Administrative Fees to CAC	4,025	459
11	SB 2557 Administration Fees	94,970	9,588
12	SCO Invoices for Audit and Oversight - Funding should only be allocated for this purpose when there is sufficient RPTTF to fully fund the approved enforceable obligations as shown on line 35.	-	<u>-</u>
13	Total Administrative Distributions (sum of lines 10:12)	98,995	10,047
14	Passthrough Distributions-		
15	City Passthrough Payments	-	13,019
16	County Passthrough Payments	1,447,147	21,494
17	Special District Passthrough Payments	68,812	9,621
18	K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion	-	25,778
19	K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion	452,951	33,756
20	Community College Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion	-	4,215
21	Community College Passthrough Payments - Facilities Portion	75,321	4,659
22	County Office of Education - Tax Portion	-	778
23	County Office of Education - Facilities Portion	33,833	3,319
24	Education Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF)	-	-
25	Total Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 15:24)	2,078,064	116,639
26	Total Administrative and Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 13 and 25)	2,177,059	126,686

Allocation Period: January 2016 - June 2016

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 15-16B

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Visalia RDA	Woodlake RDA
27	26)	1,963,290	287,462
	Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution - Include the total RPTTF approved for SA non-admin and admin coance Review (DDR) process, as indicated in the letter, on lines 33 and 34. Note that CACs should first apply the withholrepo		
28	distributed to the affected taxing entities (ATEs) accordingly.		
29	Non-Admin EOs	518,528	38,209
30	Admin EOs	125,000	50,000
31	Less PPAs - Amount should be entered as a negative number.	(75,948)	(55,325)
32	Less RPTTF Withholding - Amounts should be entered as a negative number:		
33	LMIHF		
34	OFA		
35	Total Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution (sum of lines 29:34)	567,580	32,884
36	CAC Distributed ROPS RPTTF- CACs should first apply the negative PPA and RPTTF withholding amounts to the		
37	Non-Admin EOs	481,234	37,722
38	Admin EOs	86,346	
39	Total CAC Distributed RPTTF for SA EOs (sum of lines 37 and 38)	567,580	37,722
	Formula check to determine whether the lesser of the total Finance approved RPTTF or the total RPTTF balance		_
40	available to fund EOs was allocated to the SA. Please explain all amounts shown in the comments section.	-	4,838
41	Net ROPS 13-14 and DDR Withholding RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 27 - 39)	1,395,710	249,740
42	Less RPTTF Withholdings - The following withheld amounts should be reported on the respective LMIHF and Ols that	an the amounts on 33 and 34	.
43	LMIHF		
44	OFA		
45	Total Actual RPTTF Withholdings (sum of lines 43 and 44)	-	-
	Total ROPS 15-16B Only RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line 41 + 45) - Excludes RPTTF		
46	withholding residuals paid to the ATEs as shown on line 45.	1,395,710	249,740

Allocation Period: January 2016 - June 2016

ROPS Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Allocation Cycle: 15-16B

County: Tulare

Line #	Title of Former Redevelopment Agency (RDA):	Visalia RDA	Woodlake RDA
47	RPTTF Distributions to ATEs - Payments pursuant to H&S Section 34183(a)(4). Include the effect of "haircutting	tributed to the ATEs	
48	Cities	198,096	39,365
49	Counties	53,495	43,038
50	Special Districts	73,912	19,266
51	K-12 Schools	519,075	76,882
52	Community Colleges	90,861	11,459
53	County Office of Education	41,953	5,291
54	55:57)	418,318	54,439
55	ERAF - K-12		
56	ERAF - Community Colleges		
57	ERAF - County Offices of Education		
	Total RPTTF Distributions to ATEs (sum of lines 48:54) - Total residual distributions must equal the total		
58	residual balance as shown on line 46.	1,395,710	249,740
59	Total Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools (sum of lines 51:54):	1,070,207	148,071
60	Percentage of Residual Distributions to K-14 Schools	76.7%	59.3%
61	Comments:		Admin Prior Period

Admin Prior Period
Adjustment was
deducted to the extent
of the approved admin
amount and there was
\$487 in non-admin
prior period adjustment
deducted from the
approved non-admin
amount.

Disclosures:

(1) ERAF in RDA pass through calculation is included in this calculation

Case law "LAUSD vs LA County"