Course Goal: To improve the decision making skills of officers when engaged in use of force confrontations

Course Objectives:

- 1. Increase awareness of current law and departmental policies regarding use of force
- 2. Improve proficiency in appropriate force option selection
- 3. Improve decision making during stressful events (stress inoculation)
- 4. Improve tactics during potentially violent confrontations
- 5. Improve documentation of use of force

Module total time: 4 hours

Resources required: Laptop computer, LCD projector, screen, speakers, remote & laser pointer, flip charts with appropriate markers. Force Options Simulator (with a means for the whole class to observe scenarios)

	Course content	Instructor Notes
I. Introduction		Have class ready - registration
1.		papers in order, equipment
	a. Student registration	ready before students arrive.
	b. Instructor introduction	Begin sign in as students come
	i. Explain expectations	in.
	1. Treat scenarios as real	Instructor biog
	2. Instructor may share anecdote of this training	Instructor bios.
	benefiting/saving officers	Instructor stress the importance
	c. Student introduction (options)	of the training and treating the
	 Have each student introduce self 	scenarios as real – no excuses
	Overhead questions to class	"I would haveif it were real"
	 Identify various agencies present 	Pretest students by overhead
	Identify new students (show of hands)	questioning.
	Identify who in the class had the most recent	4
	use of force – and share their story	
	d. Course Goal – Improve decision making skills related to use of	
	force	Explain that this course is PSP/CPT
	e. Course objectives	
	i. Increase awareness of current law and agency policies	
	regarding use of force	
	ii. Improve proficiency in appropriate force option	
	selection	
	iii. Improve decision making during stressful events	
	(stress inoculation)	
	iv. Improve tactics during potentially violent confrontations	
II.	Improve documentation of use of force	
Ш.	Laws of arrest (4 th Amendment seizure) PSP (h)	
	a. Consensual	Overhead questions that may be
	i. Objective standard	used:

	ii. Free to leave or terminate	 What are the three types of contacts that we make as
	iii. No seizure (no force can be applied)	Peace Officers?
	b. Detention	2. What is a consensual
	i. Reasonable suspicion - legal standard to detain	encounter?
	ii. Officer with same training and experience would	What is the legal standard to detain some one?
	believe that the subject had engaged, is engaging, or is	4. What is the legal standard
	about to engage in criminal activity – that criminal	to arrest
	activity is afoot (<u>Hodari D</u> . (1991) 499 U.S. 621, 627-	5. Why is this important to
	628; <u>Bostick</u> (1991) 501 U.S. 429, 434; <u>Souza</u> (1994) 9	use of force
	Cal.4th 224, 229 Source: CPOLS)	Video clips may be used.
	iii. Limited in scope	Instructor may assign viewing
	c. Arrest	tasks to identify various course
	i. Probable cause - legal standard for arrest	elements
	ii. Reasonable person would have an honest and strong	
	suspicion that the subject is guilty of a crime	
IV.	Laws PSP (h) (i)	
	a. PC 835(a)	Instructor may ask the class to
	i. The use of objectively reasonable force to effect arrest,	provide the elements of PC 835(a) or state laws affecting
	prevent escape, or overcome resistance	powers of arrest
	ii. A peace officer need not retreat or desist because the	
	suspect resists or threatens to resist	
	iii. Officer will not be deemed the aggressor	
	iv. Officer does not lose the right of self defense by using reasonable force	
	v. Amendments to PC 835 as a result of AB 392.	
	b. Other laws (optional)	
	i. US Constitution 4 th Amendment	Instructor may facilitate class discussion on the optional laws
	1. Seizures must be "Objectively reasonable"	discussion on the optional laws
	ii. Titles 42 and 18 – Federal	
	iii. PC 843: Arrest under warrant; force permissible, what	
	force may be used	
	iv. PC 196: Justifiable homicide, public officers	
	v. PC 197: Justifiable homicide, any person	
	vi. PC 198: Justifiable homicide, sufficiency of fear	
	vii. PC 198.5: Home protection, use of deadly force,	
	presumption of fear of death or great bodily injury	
ν.	Case law PSP (h) (i) (j)	
	a. Graham vs. Conner (Objectively reasonable force)	Instructor may share factual
	i. Force evaluation considerations	story of the cases – then the lessons learned by the court
	1. Judged from the perspective of a reasonable	decision
	officer on scene rather than 20/20 hindsight	
	a. Officer with same or similar training and	Instructor may ask along to
	experience	Instructor may ask class to explain or share knowledge on
	b. Facing similar circumstances	each listed case if known.
	c. Act the same way or use similar	
	judgment	Using powerpoint, and facilitated
		discussion, the instructor will

2. Examined through the eyes of an officer on the	cover each case according to
scene at the time the force was applied	the outline
 Based on the facts and circumstances confronting the officer without regard to the 	*It is important that the instructor
confronting the officer without regard to the officer's underlying intent or motivation	remain in control of the topic and that the court direction is
4. Based on the knowledge that the officer acted	explained to the students
properly under the established law at the time	accurately
ii. Factors	
1. The severity of the crime	
2. The threat of the suspect to officers and	
citizens	
The active resistance of the suspect to	
arrest/escape	
iii. Reasonableness see also - Scott v. Harris (2007)	
Vehicle pursuit – objective reasonableness is the	
standard	
b. Scott vs. Henrich (39 F.3d 912 (9th Cir. 1994)	
 Officers do not necessarily need to use the least intrusive force 	
ii. Force must be objectively reasonable and justified	
iii. Example (from <i>Forrester v. San Diego</i>)	
1. Officers don't have to carry protesters, they can	
use pain compliance or other means to effect	
arrest	
c. Bryan vs. McPherson (F.3d,2009 WL 5064477 (C.A.9	
(Cal.)), December 28, 2009)	
i. Electronic Weapon on traffic stop	
ii. Need to articulate an immediate threat to officer or	
others	
iii. Electronic weapons constitute an "intermediate or medium, though not insignificant, quantum of force"	
iv. Duty to warn	
d. Legal standard for deadly force	
i. Objective and reasonable belief he/she or another	
person is in imminent danger of death or serious bodily	
injury	
ii. Given the totality of the facts known to the officer at the	
time	
e. Tennessee vs. Garner (Deadly force)	
i. In order for peace officers to employ deadly force on a	
fleeing suspect, they must consider:	
1. Fleeing Suspect	
a. PC to believe that the subject poses a	
threat of death or serious physical harm,	
either to the officer or others	
2. Violent crime	

	a. PC to believe that he has committed a	
	crime involving the infliction or threaten	
	infliction of serious physical harm	
3.	Deadly Force	
	a. Probable cause to believe that the use	
	of deadly force is reasonably necessary	
4	Warning	
	a. Some warning be given prior to the use	
	of deadly force where feasible	The instructor may choose to
f. Other case law	•	mention or cover some of the
	hable force	optional cases in the same
	Brooks v. Seattle (2010) Drive-stun as pain	manner as above.
	compliance	
	Forrester v. San Diego (1994) Least intrusive	
	means (reasonable force)	
3.	Reynolds v. San Diego (1994) Qualified	
	immunity when officers act reasonably	
	Forrett v. Richardson (1997) fleeing felony shot	
	 totality of circumstances 	
5.	Hemet v. Smith (2005) Deadly force defined	
0	(9thcir)	
	Vera Cruz v. Escondido (1997) Old definition of	
	deadly force	
	Deorle v Rutherford (2001) Projectile impact	
	weapons – duty to warn Chew v. Gates (1994) Severity of the threat is	
	most important factor	
	Martinez v. County of Los Angeles (1996)	
	Officer is not required to wait in order to find out	
	if the suspect will injure or kill the officer before	
	using reasonable force	
	e of person	
	Terry v. Ohio (1968) Stop and frisk based on	
	reasonable suspicion	
	Florida v. Bostick (1991) Consensual	
	encounters – free to decline officer's requests	
	US. v. Place (1983) Prolonged detention	
	(90minutes)	
	Brower v. County of Inyo (1989) Roadblock; no	
	seizure of a person unless the police	
	intentionally use force to effect the stop or	
	movement of a person, or the suspect submits to authority	
	Brendlin v. California (2007) Vehicle passenger	
	may challenge stop	
	ents against police	
iii. Guagini		I

	1
1. US. v. Koons (1994) Rodney King – deprivation	
or rights under color of authority	
2. Yang v. Hardin (1994) failure of witness officer	
to intervene on criminal force	
3. Quezada v. Bernalillo (1991) Negligence sole	
cause of death – forced by ofcrs.	
4. Alexander v. San Francisco (1994) Forcing a	
confrontation	
5. Starks v. Enyart (1993) No self-defense if step	
into path of vehicle	
iv. Response guidance	
1. Castle rock v. Gonzales (2005) Failure to	
respond by police	
2. Heck v. Humphrey (1994) 148 guilty =	
protection of false arrest and excessive force	
claim	
3. Reed v. Hoy (1989) Police need not retreat –	
support 835(a)PC 4. Deshaney v. Winnebago (1989) Failure to	Instructor should probe students
protect (no special relationship with Restraining	to ensure that they
order)	know/understand their agency
VI. Agency policy PSP (h)	policy – best as time allows
a. Case law discussion (optional)	If homogenous group, instructor
i. Peterson vs. Long Beach	may cover agency policy for that
ii. Long Beach vs. Long Beach POA	group/class
b. Overview of policies	*A gapay policy may (and likely
i. Each student will be responsible for knowledge of their	*Agency policy may (and likely is) more restrictive then law
agency use of force policy prior to attending the class	
ii. Duty to know agency policy	Instructor may ask class to:
iii. Current TCSO Policy 300 Force Options	 Define force options List force options
iv. Policy as it relates to SB230	3. Identify deadly force
VII. Force options PSP (i)	options
a. Defined: Force options are choices available to a peace officer	4. Does the way a specific
in each agency's policy to overcome resistance, effect arrest,	force option is applied affect the level of force?
prevent escape, or gain control of the situation.	Explain your answer.
b. Force options	
i. Uniform presence	
ii. Verbal skills	Instructor – do not present these
iii. Chemical agents	options as a continuum or ladder
iv. Control hold/ hands	of force application
v. Impact weapons	
vi. Electronic weapons	
1. Drive-stun	
a. Pain compliance	
2. Probes	
a. Neuromuscular incapacitation (NMI)	
vii. Projectile impact weapons	

viii. Firearms	
ix. Other deadly force	
1. Vehicles	
2. Knives	
x. Additional options	
1. Canine	
2. Assisting units	
3. Tactical withdraw	Instructor disclaimer: tactics are
VIII. Tactical Overview PSP (a) (b) (c) (d) (e)	subjective and these concepts are general
a. Level of awareness	are general
i. Pre-assaultive behaviors	Instructor may show "threat
1. Verbalization	indicators" video with possible
	viewing task:
2. Body language	"What threat indicators are not
a. Glance targeting by suspect (acquiring	shown in the video?"
officer as target)	Instructor may use overhead
b. Hands	questions to facilitate class
Overly compliant	discussion
ii. Fatigue, preoccupation, injuries, medication, etc.	1. Identify tactical
b. Environmental awareness	considerations during a
 Friends, relatives, associates, other threats 	detention 2. Identify tactical
c. Familiarization of equipment	considerations immediately
i. Tools on your belt	prior to a force application
ii. Weapons systems	3. Identify tactical
iii. If not comfortable (negligent entrustment)	considerations during a
1. Must learn	use of force
If at time of deployment – defer	
d. Accuracy (target acquisition)	
e. Avenue of escape/surroundings	
f. Movement	
g. Cover (generally stops bullets)	
h. Concealment (hides officer's position)	
i. Distance	
iii. Lag-time	
1. Pre-event lag time (less)	
2. Post-event lag time (more after stressful	
confrontations)	
a. May take longer to de-escalate – to	
perceive that the threat is gone/stopped	
j. Communications (verbalization)	
i. Suspect	
 Clear, concise directions (tone and volume 	
appropriate)	
Don't speak over each other or contradict each	
other	
ii. Fellow officers	

	1. Identify roles	
	a. Contact, cover, hands on, verbal, etc.b. Plain clothes/off-duty	
	iii. Dispatch	
	1. Prioritize (when to communicate)	
	2. Direct resources	Instructor may use a break here
IX.	Practical Application Simulator Scenarios PSP (a) (b) (d) (e) (f) (g) (i)	to allow for weapon storage if
1741	a. Safety brief / Four rules of firearm safety	not already done.
	i. Guns are always loaded	INSTRUCTOR MUST ENSURE
	ii. Never allow muzzle to cover anything that you are not	WEAPONS CHECK AND
	willing to destroy	SAFETY BRIEF
	iii. Keep finger off the trigger until you are ready to shoot	SIMULATOR SCENARIOS
	iv. Be sure of your target and background	REQUIRE TWO
	b. Weapons familiarization specific to the simulator	INSTRUCTORS
	c. No live weapons are allowed in the simulator room. i.e.,	
	Firearms, ammunition, knives, OC spray, and Tasers	Instructor running the simulator also visually inspects students
	d. Scenarios	and visually inspects students
	i. Minimum of three (3) scenarios for each student (as	Instructor ground rules for
	contact officer)	debriefs:
	1. Not in succession	 Mistakes are okay in here (it's how we learn)
	Include at least one (1) non-shoot per student	2. Don't belittle or embarrass
	ii. Entire class present during scenarios if possible	student
	iii. Debrief each scenario as it is completed	 Don't beat a dead horse – if they ID their mintake ar
	iv. One instructor per two students during active	if they ID their mistake or get it.
	scenarios.	4. Recognize and identify
	1. Instructor question options	what was appropriate
	a. What did you see?	specifically related to
	b. What did you do?	lesson plan (reinforcing course content)
	c. Why did you do it?	5. Be thorough
	d. What could you have done better	6. Get students to self-assess
	e. How would you write your report	 Ensure legal standards are met/learned – shown by
	 Entire class (students present) identifies Things done well 	student being able to
	8	explain and apply legal
	 b. Things that could have been done better c. Lessons learned 	standard
Х.	Course Evaluation and Final Review	 Instructor must be willing to confront mistakes by
Λ.		students
		The instructor may debrief
		students by role-playing as the first supervisor on scene, asking
		"what happened?"
		·

Learning Activity # 1 Video Clip "Threat Indicators"

Time required: 5 minutes (optional follow-up 10-15 minutes)

Purpose of Activity: This activity is designed to get the students to closely examine a training video that demonstrates pre-assaultive behavioral cues.

Description: Prior to playing the video clip, ask the class to look for any behavioral cues NOT mentioned or identified in the video. After playing the clip, facilitate a brainstorming list of other pre-assaultive behaviors not identified in the video.

(Optional) Follow-up: Use this informational base to conduct an open forum discussion about these pre-assaultive behaviors and the proper response to them by peace officers, including strategies to deter the attack. Keep in mind that this exercise could branch out to cover a number of topics located throughout the course outline.

Resources Needed: Video clip "Threat Indicators", projector, screen, speakers, media playing device.

Key learning Points:

- Learn what pre-assaultive behaviors are
- Identify when suspects are exhibiting pre-assaultive behaviors
- Learn methods of deterrence before the suspect attacks
- Identify proper responses to pre-assaultive behaviors